

FORM RFQ-C

Proposer's Clarification Question Request

Proposer's/Firm's Name: **Compilation of all Clarifications as of 1/4/2009**

Question #	RFQ Section No. or Appendix	Question	Reserved for Department Response
1	4.4.2.6	<p>In paragraph B of <i>Section 4.4.2.6 Project Understanding</i>, the first item states: "List and briefly describe in no more than five pages the significant issues and risks facing the selected Proposer/and or the Department." However, the second item (past relevant experience) and third item (ensuring a successful Project) do not state page limits.</p> <p>Does the five page maximum only apply to the first item (significant issues and risks)? Please clarify page count.</p>	<p>Section 6 – Project Understanding has a 5 page maximum.</p> <p><i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/17/2009)</i> <i>(Revised on 1/4/2009)</i></p>
2	RFQ Appendix B (Page B-1)	<p>Under the heading "Pages and Binders", it states that "...graphical information, which may be submitted on 11" by 17" paper and folded down to 8½ " by 11"...."</p> <p>For <i>Section 4.4.2.6 Project Understanding</i>, may we submit graphical information on 11" by 17" paper folded down to 8 ½" by 11"? If yes, will the page count as one or two pages?</p>	<p>Yes you may submit graphical information for project understanding on 11" by 17" paper folded down to 8½ " by 11".</p> <p>The 11" by 17" paper will count as 1 page</p> <p><i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/17/2009)</i></p>
3	RFQ Appendix A 3.0 (Page A-3)	<p>The RFQ states that as-builts are available and that the Department will provide copies. How do we get a copy of them?</p> <p>3.0 PROJECT STATUS The status of the Work being completed for the Project is summarized as follows. Survey: Preliminary topographic surveys will be provided in electronic format in the RFP. Additional miscellaneous survey information will also be provided in the RFP. Preliminary Engineering: The Department will provide the preliminary</p>	<p>As-built plans as well as geotechnical information will be provided in the RFP.</p>

FORM RFQ-C

Proposer's Clarification Question Request

		<p>engineering documents in the Request for Proposals (RFP).</p> <p>Utilities: A preliminary Subsurface Utilities Engineering (SUE) analysis is being performed.</p> <p>The RFP will include copies of master utility agreements that establish constraints and responsibilities for impacted utilities.</p> <p>Environmental: The environmental decision is available in the RFP.</p> <p>Plans: As-built plans for the existing highway facility are available for review by the Proposers. Copies will be provided;</p> <p>Geotechnical: Geotechnical information will be provided.</p>	(Submitted to be posted on 12/17/2009)
4	Appendix A	Section 1.0 last bullet noted DB Contractor is to maintain the roadway (except sanding/salting and now-plowing). Section 2.0(V) notes the DB Contractor is to be responsible for project maintenance, including snow removal. Please clarify.	<p>See addendum 1</p> <p>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</p>
5	Appendix A	Section 1.0 has project limits on Geneva Road from University Parkway to 1600 North. Section 2.0 has project limits on Geneva Road from University Parkway to 1600 North excluding the section from 280 North to 480 North. Please clarify.	<p>The area from 280 North to 480 North will not be reconstructed. A complete and detailed scope will be provided in the RFP.</p> <p>(Submitted to be posted on 12/22/2009)</p>
6	Appendix A	Section 1.0 – What is the Community Coordination Team?	<p>A group of interested and impacted members of the community brought together, with the contractor and UDOT representatives, through a PI process as a way of monitoring community reaction to the work being done on the project and receiving input from them.</p> <p>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</p>
7	Section 4.4.2.4 B 1	Is a separate “environmental compliance firm” required? Or should this paragraph say Major Contractors?	An environmental compliance firm is required and a background and experience

FORM RFQ-C

Proposer's Clarification Question Request

			summary is required to be submitted for the firm. <i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</i>
8	Section 4.4.2.4 B 1	If an "environmental compliance firm" is required are they considered a "Major Contractor" even if they do less than 15% of the design or 20% of the construction?	An environmental compliance firm would not be considered a major contractor if they do less than 15% of the design or 20% of the construction. <i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</i>
9	Section 4.4.2.4 B 1	Is a summary of background and experience required for "Major Contractors" even though they are not listed in this section?	Yes, Forms E-1 should be attached to the respective firms background and experience summary. <i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</i>
10	Section 4.4.2.4	Paragraph B.1 requests the two-page write-up for the environmental compliance firm, but the firm is not mentioned in paragraph B.2 for Form E-1. Should Form E-1 be submitted for the environmental compliance firm even though they may not be a Major Contractor?	No, form E-1 is not required to be submitted for the environmental compliance firm if they are not a Major Contractor. <i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</i>
11	App. A Section 2.0.Q	It states that the DB is responsible for Construction Easements. Does this signify that no TCE's have been or are being acquired for construction purposes from the department?	UDOT will be acquiring some TCE's. TCE acquisition will be further addressed in the RFP. <i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</i>
12	RFQ Appendix B (Page B-1)	Please clarify what if any verbiage may be placed on "graphical information" 11x17 pages. Does this signify that the majority of the page should be graphical in nature?	It is acceptable to include verbiage explaining the graphical information provided. (no further clarification to be provided) <i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</i>
13	Section 4.4.2.3 (B)(2)	This section states: "References shall be owners or clients for whom the Key Personnel have performed project work for in the past ten years and shall not be current or past employers of the Key Personnel." It appears that if an individual's experience is with UDOT that he/she could not list this experience and references from the department? Please	Department references for former UDOT employees are acceptable if the reference provided relates to work performed in a client or owner/contractor relationship. <i>(Submitted to be posted on 12/23/2009)</i>

FORM RFQ-C

Proposer's Clarification Question Request

		clarify if this is the intent.	
14	Section 4.4.2.4 (B)(3)	There are two references to Form E-2. Should the second reference be Form L-2 instead?	See Addendum 1 <i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i>
15	Section 4.4.2.5 Past Performance	Is the intention of this section for the proposer to submit information for the office(s)/division(s) of the firm that will be performing the work on this project?	Yes <i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i>
16	RFQ Section 1.9	<p>The figure in Section 1.9 shows the following roles under the Design-Builder and UDOT for construction quality</p> <p>Design-Builder – Materials testing, inspection, sampling, etc. UDOT – Testing compliance, review, verifications sampling etc.</p> <p>This wording could be understood that the Design-Builder is to perform the acceptance testing and UDOT is to perform compliance testing</p> <p>Our understanding of the RFQ is that UDOT will perform all acceptance testing and inspection of materials and the Design-Builder is responsible for QC and coordination of UDOT's testing. Please clarify this relationship?</p>	<p>The Department is responsible for acceptance testing.</p> <p>The Design-Builder is responsible for QC and coordination with the Department.</p> <p>QC and Department roles will be further established by the RFP.</p> <p><i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i></p>
17	Section 4.4.2.4B	<p>Part 1) Asks for a 2-page summary and experience of the Principal Participant, the Designer and the Environmental Firm</p> <p>Part 2) Asks for two E-1 forms for Principal Participant, Designer and Major Subcontractor and to attach them to the 2-page summary</p> <p>Question: Does this mean you want a 2-page write up for the Major Subcontractor or to attach the E-1 forms behind the one page summary of the Major Subcontractor listed on form E-2?</p>	<p>The background and experience summary for Major Contractors shall be a maximum of one page for each firm.</p> <p><i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i></p>

FORM RFQ-C

Proposer's Clarification Question Request

18		Will UDOT (Department) please provide Proposers with the CATEX documents prepared for the 400 South railroad bridge project on Geneva Road?	The CATEX will be provided with the RFP <i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i>
19		Will UDOT (Department) please provide Proposers with the right-of-way plans prepared for the 400 South railroad bridge project on Geneva Road?	All engineering data will be provided as reference documents with the RFP <i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i>
20	Appendix A Section 3.0	The bridge design and supporting geotechnical report by the firm originally hired to design the bridge would be helpful in developing our project approach. Please provide this information.	All engineering data will be provided as reference documents with the RFP <i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i>
21	Appendix B	Regarding the 8 ½ by 11 sheets, are these to remain black and white only or is color allowed?	The sheets shall be white, however color is allowed in the data provided on the sheets. note: The pages will need to be easily reproducible in black and white by standard photocopying machines. <i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i>
22	Appendix B	States that Table B-1 indicates the page limits for each section. How many pages are allowed for the Project Understanding, and specifically how many 8 ½" by 11" and 11" by 17" pages are allowed ?	See responses 1 and 2 <i>(Submitted to be posted on 1/4/2009)</i>